

Draft of Policy Points Recommended at March 11, 2008 Joint Advisor Workshop

Policy Area	Policy Point	Advisor Comments/Suggestions
Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	<p>Support policies and practices to make Transit Oriented Developments accessible and affordable.</p>	<p>Accessible Townhomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To be eligible for TLC/HIP funding, townhomes built using these funds should require at least 10 percent of town homes to be inhabitable by persons with disabilities. • To be eligible for TLC/HIP funding, townhome developments built using these funds should require accessible paths of travel between developments and all nearby transit. • Require cities to plan for accessible TODs in future station area planning grants. • Support legislation to require interior and exterior accessibility. <p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include affordability component in TODs, such as a higher low-income occupation requirement. • Standardize the definition of terms, starting with “TOD,” to ensure standards met across the region. <p>Local jurisdictions should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage developers to pay into a pool for street improvements, including ADA ramps, signals, sidewalks, etc. • Encourage the establishment of redevelopment zones for zoning incentives.

Policy Area	Policy Point	Advisor Comments/Suggestions
Public Transit Performance	Support and augment ongoing policies and practices to improve the delivery of public transit services.	<p>Comments highlighted these areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Support improved and coordinated service/route planning by transit operators, including more analysis of current and future travel needs and timing of schedules. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Does support mean “incentives” or other ways to optimize coordination? Performance standards? ○ Coordinate planning with educational institutions (including K-12) and major employment sites ● Personal safety/security for both transit riders and employees, both at transit hubs and on-board vehicles (community policing) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Support of “last-mile” options ● Seamless travel between modes (TransLink®) ● <u>Signage</u>: Provide general and schedule information at all bus stops <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Establish minimum standards for posted print schedules ○ Information with connecting transit systems (and across county lines) should also be posted ○ Utilize technology for real-time next bus information ● <u>Connectivity</u>: Develop a regional coordinated transit system/seamless travel

Policy Area	Policy Point	Advisor Comments/Suggestions
Universal Fare Structure	Universal fare structure for the Bay Area, including all-day unlimited use passes and a region-wide standard for extended transfers.	Clarify language in policy to include seniors and low-income residents
Regional Accessible Taxi Ordinance	Develop a regional accessible taxi ordinance requiring taxi companies to comply with a certain level of service for persons with disabilities (from EDAC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Would MTC need legislative action to authorize regional taxis vs. county-by-county authorization? ● Small buses/vans for higher-frequency service in densely populated areas ● Specify levels of service for senior community ● Work to include the “frail elderly” who are generally not considered “disabled”
Pedestrian Safety	Audible Pedestrian Signals (from EDAC)	Regional coordination of audible pedestrian signals via support of legislation to provide standards for audible signals. Encourage use of California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices or U.S. Access Board guidelines.
Other	Expand paratransit beyond the $\frac{3}{4}$ -mile federally regulated (fixed-route and fixed-time) limit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Long-term goal; not immediate priority for EDAC ● EDAC concerned that we do not undermine existing law; instead, work locally (with transit operators) to increase limit ● A priority because of the aging population? ● Potentially not a policy issue, but a budgetary issue. Even with federal or state advocacy, without funding allocation this may not happen.